

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for pointing out that claims 1-6, 8, 26-29, 31, and 32 are allowed. See Final Office Action, page 3.

I. Status of Claims

Claims 1-8, 26-29, 31, and 32 are pending in this application. Claims 9-25 and 30 were cancelled.

In the present Amendment, claim 7 has been amended to delete the term "piperazinylpropyl-." No new matter has been raised by the amendment. Applicants respectfully request entry of this amendment into the record, as it renders claim 7 in condition for allowance.

II. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The Examiner rejects claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, because of the recitation of R being "piperazinylpropyl-." Final Office Action, page 2. The Examiner further asserts that Applicants "have to delete 'piperazinylpropyl-' to overcome this rejection." *Id.*

Solely to advance the prosecution of the present application, Applicants have deleted the term "piperazinylpropyl-" in claim 7 as set forth above. Therefore, this rejection is moot in view of the current amendment. Applicants respectfully request this rejection be withdrawn.

III. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims 1-8, 26-29, 31, and 32 are in condition for allowance.


Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 3, 2008

By: 
Ningling Wang
Reg. No. 52,412